



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

CRAIN CATON & JAMES
FIVE HOUSTON CENTER
17TH FLOOR
HOUSTON TX 77010-4035

AUG 26 2005

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of
Yin L. Cheung, et al.
Application No. 10/806,980
Filed: March 22, 2004
For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ANALYZING
AND IMAGING THREE-DIMENSIONAL
VOLUME DATA SETS USING A THREE-
DIMENSIONAL SAMPLING PROBE**

DECISION ON PETITION
UNDER 37 CFR 1.59

This is a response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed June 23, 2005, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The decision on the petition will be held in abeyance until prosecution on the merits is closed, at which time the petition will be decided.

Petitioner requests that the information submitted in the Information Disclosure Statement, filed June 25, 2004, be expunged from the record. Petitioner states that either (A) that the information contains trade secret material, proprietary material and/or material that is subject to a protective order which has not been made public; or (B) that the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted, and the information has not otherwise been made public. The petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h) has been paid.

The decision on the petition is held in abeyance because prosecution on the merits is not closed. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to make a final determination of whether or not the material requested to be expunged is "material", with "materiality" being defined as any information which the examiner considers as being important to a determination of patentability of the claims. Thus, the decision on the petition to expunge must be held in abeyance at this time.

During prosecution on the merits, the examiner will determine whether or not the identified document is considered to be "material". If the information is not considered by the examiner to be material, the information will be returned to applicant.

The document in question will not be available to the public during prosecution.


Dwayne Bost
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2600
Communications